UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,923	01/08/2002	A. Marie Vans	100111150-1	4071

			EXAMINER
22879	7590	09/14/2004	SINGH, RACHNA

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2176	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action		10/041,923	VANS ET AL.
		Examiner	**Art Unit**	
		Rachna Singh	2176	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

<div align="center">

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

</div>

a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

   (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

   (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

   (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

   (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
   NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. ☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: *See Continuation Sheet*.

6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

   The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

   Claim(s) allowed: _____.

   Claim(s) objected to: _____.

   Claim(s) rejected: _____.

   Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

10. ☐ Other: _____

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the finality of the Examiner's previous office action stating that the finality should be withdrawn because the Office Action improperly relies upon the disclosure of Mighdoll. Examiner respectfully disagrees in view of the following comments.. Applicant argues that Office Action contradicts itself with respect to claim 7 in stating that Mighdoll teaches that page numbers are stored. With respect to claim 7, Mighdoll teaches that the recently fetched pages of the last several documents are stored; whereas, claim 8 states that the page number of the current viewed page is stored. Claim 7 and 8 differ in that one teaches storing page numbers of "recently visited" pages and the other of current pages. Mighdoll teaches storing the "last several fetches of each document" which may or may not include the current page. Applicant further distinguishes the claimed invention and prior art stating that "number-of-pages-to-be-loaded variable storage area" and "obtaining a predetermined number of pages to be loaded variable" are not taught. In Applicant's specification, it is defined as "controlling how many pages are loaded into display storage area" and further states that it can simply be the "available memory". Mighdoll's storage of pages in a storage device also has an "available memory" and would not store any more pages if that available memory was exceeded, thus Mighdoll does teach "a number-of-pages-to-be-loaded variable storage area". Applicant further argues that Mighdoll doesn't teach "most-likely-to-be-visited pages". On page 6 of Applicant's specification, "most-likely-to-be-visited" pages are defined as a prediction of pages that the user will visit". Mighdoll teaches a document cache that stores the most frequently requested documents. Frequency indicates the "likelihood" of something occurring, in this case the page being visited, thus Mighdoll teaches this feature. See pages 3-4 and 7-8 as indicated in rejections above. In view of comments and previous office actions, Examiner's rejection is maintained.